Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/584,155	OHYAMA, HIDEKI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gregg Polansky	1611
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>After Final Allowance</u>		
(1) <u>Gregg Polansky</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Sunhee Lee</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>10 July 2008</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A _j	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Suggested to Ms. Lee an examiner's amendment to Claim 3. Informed Ms. Lee that Claims 2 and 3 (after examiner's amendment) are in a condition for allowance. Permission to charge \$230 to Applicants' deposit account for an extension of time, was requested. Ms. Lee indicated she would contact the Applicants on 7/14/2008 to get their consent for the amendments and additional fee. The interview was followed up on 7/14/2008 by a call from a representative for Ms. Lee, indicating the Applicants had approved the examiner's amendments and the additional fee for an extension of time.